



# ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER

Associates Education & Business Office  
P.O. Box 1621, Lima, Ohio 45802

Research Department  
P.O. Box 1402, Evanston, Illinois 60204

(312) 491-6666  
24-Hour Telephone

COPYRIGHT 1981

CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES

DECEMBER 1981 — VOLUME 2 NUMBER 12

## New Schedule Of CUFOS Publications Announced Beginning January 1982

The Center for UFO Studies is pleased to announce that a new, improved schedule of periodicals will begin publication in January 1982.

After almost two years of irregular visibility as a part of other magazines, the *INTERNATIONAL UFO REPORTER* will appear again as a separate periodical published by the Center for UFO Studies.

Beginning with the January 1982 issue, the *IUR* will be published bi-monthly, with Dr. J. Allen Hynek as Editor-in-chief and John P. Timmerman as Production Manager. The new edition will be published in and mailed from Lima, Ohio to all levels of CUFOS ASSOCIATES throughout the world, replacing that position now held by the *ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER* in the present schedule of publications. It will be published in English and (for the benefit of our many foreign readers) it is hoped that other editions in other languages will appear when circulation justifies the costs involved.

The content and format of the *IUR* will be similar to its last separate issue which appeared in February 1980 from Evanston, Illinois.

All of the UFO sighting report investigation and analysis will be the responsibility of Dr. Hynek, as Editor-in-chief.

Another important aspect of the separate republishing of the *IUR* will be the change in its availability to ASSOCIATES of CUFOS. Simultaneously with the emergence of the new *IUR*, a modification is being made in the schedule of ASSOCIATES in which ASSOCIATES of all "kinds" previously receiving the *ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER* monthly will, instead,


### 1st Kind, Bi-Monthly



### 2nd Kind, Bi-Monthly



### 3rd Kind, Annually



begin receiving the new *INTERNATIONAL UFO REPORTER* bi-monthly.

The *ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER* moves to the next level of ASSOCIATES, continuing publication as before, except that it will be published bi-monthly on alternate months beginning in 1982 with the February issue. Also, the *ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER*, in addition to the *IUR*, will now be mailed to only ASSOCIATES contributing \$30.00 US\$ or more per year to CUFOS.

In a move designed to permit more time for research and case investigation, the *CUFOS BULLETIN* will no longer be published. The *SUMMER 1982* is the last issue published.

The *JOURNAL OF UFO STUDIES* will continue to be published annually, edited by Mimi Hynek, and, in addition to the above publications, will be mailed to all ASSOCIATES contributing \$60.00 US\$ or more annually to CUFOS.

Finally, the schedule of ASSOCIATES has been simplified and the benefits to ASSOCIATES have been improved. Additional publications may now be selected from our current booklist at CUFOS INFORMATION SERVICES by annual contributors of larger gifts to CUFOS.

To summarize these changes in the levels of ASSOCIATES and the benefits they will receive, we are publishing in this issue a new schedule which supercedes all previously published information. It will become effective on January 1, 1982 and all contributions received prior to that date will be part of the new schedule.

The new *PERIODICALS* sheet which was distributed with the last issue of the *ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER* will, therefore, be revised and readers should watch for a new descriptive sheet with a future issue. The Back-issue Order Form is still correct, however, and may be used as intended without change.

(Continued on Pg. 4, Col. 3)

## CORRESPONDENCE

Dear Dr. Hynek,

I would like to register as an ASSOCIATE of the First Kind. I would also like to ask you to check your records to see if anyone reported a UFO experience in Colorado in the summer of 1972 or 1974. I believe it was 1974, but I can't be sure.

I saw then what I believe now to be a UFO, although at the time I didn't connect it with anything alien.

The reason I ask you to check your records is because there was another car on the road at the time I saw it and I'm hoping that person remembers all that happened.

It occurred on a highway in the Rocky Mountains between Pueblo and Gunnison, Colorado at around 3 or 4 in the morning. I was driving towards Gunnison on a deserted road that cut through the wilderness. There were no lights on the road. I had been driving for about a couple of hours without seeing any other cars, when I happened to look up to my left and saw a light in the dark sky. I didn't pay attention to it at first, but as I drove along I began to wonder what it was. (The writer speculates about its identity.)

Then I realized what it was about it that kept me aware of it. It was moving at the same speed as my car; about 55 miles an hour. By this time I was really curious, but a pair of headlights off in the distance coming towards me drew my attention away from it. It was the first car I had seen in hours going in either direction.

The CUFOS ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER is published by the Center for UFO Studies, Evanston, Illinois, and is mailed monthly to financial contributors to CUFOS according to the schedule of ASSOCIATES. Copyright 1981 by the Center for UFO Studies and mailed as Third Class Mail at Lima, Ohio.

The contents of the CUFOS ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER are determined by the editor and do not necessarily represent the official position of CUFOS, or reflect the opinions of the editor, the staff or the Center for UFO Studies.

Permission is hereby granted to quote from this issue provided not more than 200 words are quoted from any one article, the author of the article is given credit and the statement "Copyright 1981 by the Center for UFO Studies," is included in the quotation.

ISSN.# 0273-3129

The CUFOS ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER  
P.O. Box 1621  
Lima, Ohio 45802  
John P. Timmerman, Editor  
J. Allen Hynek, PhD., Scientific Director

Then I didn't see the headlights any more and figured the car had turned down a side road. When I looked up at the light, it was gone and I figured it had flown over the ridge.

Soon my headlights picked up the other car. There it was in the middle of the road with its lights out. I turned my head to look at it as I went by thinking that was a dumb thing to do; stopping in the middle of the road and putting the headlights out.

As I turned back to look where I was going, I saw that my headlights were out, also I slammed on the brakes as I couldn't see past the hood of my car. Luckily the highway was straight and I didn't go off the road. (If you ever want to experience an eerie feeling, drive down a dark road at 55 miles an hour and turn off your head lights.)

I then started pulling the light switch on and off and stepping on the floor button, but the lights stayed off. Then I tried once more pulling the light switch out and the lights went on again. As I was driving away I looked in the rear view mirror to see the lights of the other car go on and it drove off also. I remember thinking: wow, that's strange, both of our lights went out at the same time and both came on at the same time. That's when I looked up and saw the light in the sky again. I watched it for a few seconds and it disappeared. At the time, I thought it was a coincidence that our cars lost power at the same time.

I had all kinds of electrical problems after that in my car and had to replace the alternator and regulator, along with some faulty wiring. I thought that was the reason my lights went off; because of faulty electrical gear, but now I think it was the other way around. Whatever it was that caused my car to lose power probably caused the electrical problems.

I didn't put it all together until a few years later when I started hearing about other people losing power in their cars while seeing a UFO. Then I realized that two automobiles passing each other in opposite directions on a deserted highway and both losing power at the same time while a mysterious light just happens to be floating around over them, has to be more than just a coincidence.

What I would like to know is; did anything else happen that night that I can't remember? That is why I would like you to try to find that other driver, to see if by chance he or she might be able to tell me more about what may have happened that night in Colorado.

You probably cannot give out names, but if you do find a report of the same kind, in the same place and time, I would very much appreciate having a copy of it.

G.D.

Honolulu, Hawaii

Dear Dr. Hynek,

I recently read in the Houston paper of your involvement in a UFO sighting.

I have recently moved to Houston from Somers Point, New Jersey. Last April, while residing in Somers Point, I saw an "alien." The following is the account of what I saw:

I had gone to sleep that evening at approximately 11:45 PM. My normal sleep pattern is to sleep for about 5 hours before awakening. This particular evening I awoke at about 12:10 AM. When I awoke, I sensed that some type of force had awakened me and I sensed that something was in the room. I looked to the left and to the right and saw nothing, then I looked down at my bookcase which is directly in front of my bed and I saw a creature approximately 5'4" (tall), transparent, facial texture similar to plaster of Paris, off white color, penetrating blue eyes, gray space suit on with a brocade design, small body frame, top of head white, almost like being wrapped with a white turban. The creature stood there and stared at me with no facial expression. Then, the creature started to move toward my bed in a floating motion. At this point, I let out a horrified scream and turned on the light and didn't see anything after that.

I never read too deeply into this subject. But I now believe 100% that we are being visited by an "alien" civilization.

M.J.

Houston, Texas

## Letters From Conferees

(Since no specific request or permission was discussed with the writers of these letters, no identification will be published. Those expressing a valid reason to know will be put in touch with the writers of specific letters through the Editor.)

### Letter #4

"Within the past six months I have attended three UFOlogy gatherings, APRO in Cleveland, MUFON at Boston and the most recent CUFOS event while previous functions included Fort Smith, Arkansas and Acapulco, Mexico. When one examines the global nature of the subject, the many disciplines being researched and the conclusions stated by many, many in public (and not so public) that there is strong evidence to indicate we are dealing with structured vehicles under intelligent control — origin unknown. In keeping with Allen Hynek's Manifesto; UFOlogy as a profession, I am in strong agreement but with one major proviso. To attract new minds and resources while upgrading present, active colleagues I propose this "new science" be titled Accultural Studies and the term UFOlogy be relegated to a branch or discipline within this science. A survey conducted by all responsible groups should be a determining factor."

### Letter #5

The main reasons I attended were 1) the schedule was in the CUFOS newsletter, 2) it was close, 3) it was cheap, 4) Chicago is a great city.

This was my first UFO conference. In fact, it was my first contact with any serious ufologists.

I was impressed by the education and professional manner of the attendees. There were more older people than I had expected (I am 29).

The less than enthusiastic reception for the paper on birth imagery was interesting. There are limits to what those ufologists are willing to believe.

The number of hours spent by these people on their research work was impressive.

I was glad to observe that there is still a lot of basic work to be done in the field — no PhD required.

The paper by Irwin Wieder seemed to offer the greatest potential for increasing the understanding of UFO's. A supportable theory on propulsion could provide a real public relations boost. It would certainly attract the attention of the scientific community.

I have been to many conferences, and the organization of this one compared quite favorably.

### Letter #7

"The papers were of excellent quality and appropriately covered the broad spectrum that is typical of UFOlogy. The papers by Johnson and Rodeghier will probably become known as classics in defining the automobile interference problem. Schuessler's 23 helicopters open up a whole new line of interpretation. Analysis of the recorded sound reported by Hynek and Schechter was very professional and revealing. It is a pity that Holt could not be present. No slight is intended to (papers) not mentioned."

### Letter #9

Above all, the meeting definitely (emphasized) the crossroad (at which UFOlogy now finds itself.) Either we still strive towards an even stricter scientific approach along with conclusive documented research, or we will fade away into the limbo of false prophets, charlatans and all the others . . . Now, more than ever before we should make an organized effort to test the physical reality of the phenomenon. CEIIS and abductions surely present us with the greatest challenges."

### Letter #10

I learned the **least** from Al Lawson's paper because I've considered both birth and death imagery in light of archetypes and the brain's electrochemical activity at "start-up" and at "shut-down"; and similarities to some hallucinatory psychoses. I do not feel that abduction stories are due to any of these. **If** the reports are true, then consistency in details may be due to similarity in events or may be produced by the UFOlk by some electro-magnetic means. I think Dr. Lawson is pursuing the possibilities down the wrong path, but we should be aware of aberrations in any class of reports.

I learned the **most** from several papers. First, John Schuessler's (paper) on the Cash case because I am interested in seeing that a list of medical tests (which would be conducted) after reported Close Encounters of the 3rd Kind be set up. Second, other countries' sighting reports and efforts to standardize and organize the data. Alas, they seem to be unaware of steps already taken here, which points to the need for better communication on our part, within and outside of our country.

Third, Gordon Melton's paper on contactees gave me further insights into this phenomenon which, if things go as in the past, I will have an opportunity to apply when investigating cases. It would have been nice if Dr. Leo Sprinkle had presented his position on the subject. □

#### LATE BREAKING NEWS ITEM!

Watch for it in your next publication from CUFOS!!  
The January 1982 issue of  
**International UFO Reporter.**

### Contributions to the Center for UFO Studies Are Tax-Deductible for Federal Income Taxes

Now, as we approach the end of the year, is a good time to combine a holiday gift for a friend (many young people are ASSOCIATES of CUFOS and have reported sightings) with a tax-deductible item on your Federal income tax return.

Make your check payable to CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES and mail it to P.O. Box 1402, Evanston, IL 60204. Dr. J. Allen Hynek and his hundreds of volunteers investigating and studying the UFO phenomenon will appreciate your support. A gift notification letter will be sent to the person in whose name your gift is being made and you will have your cancelled check as your receipt for tax purposes. (See gift categories elsewhere in this issue.) □

**Letter #15**

"To nod towards objectivity, I suppose the Johnson and Rodeghier papers were the most important, because they fruitfully used mathematical analyses.

For me, the most important piece of information was Dr. Melton's answer to my question as to why Charles Fort never sold anyone (even in contactee religions) on the ET hypothesis, in his own era. (Basically, because the idea of spaceships **itself** was a totally crackpot idea to the general culture then.) This gains me a little understanding in trying to figure out scientists' responses to UFO phenomena in pre-Arnold times (they did have sightings, puzzles, and theories).

Another important thing was Dr. Jacobs' remark, after his workshop, that we don't have **any** first-person documents (letters, diaries, etc.) describing an Airship sighting in 1896-97. We have only the newspaper accounts (unreliable, though I suppose small-town papers wouldn't **lie** themselves, very often).

I had a lot of fun at the Conference. It's great to talk about UFOs and not meet anyone directly descended from the gods."

**Letter #20**

The visual display at the beginning and during the conference was illuminating, and visual aids during the talks were clear and helpful.

Important and/or useful information: two frequencies combining to form a standing wave, and its effect on the air dielectric, in the photo interpretation. Birth trauma recall, and the question-period argument of neo-Freudians vs. neo-Jungians. And the fixing of geophysical events at 3 a.m.

**Letter #21**

As for the CUFOS conference, I thought it was great. Comparing it to the 1976 meeting, I think we are entering a new phase of maturity, asking better questions, improving research. I was especially interested in John Schuessler's paper and hope that the persons involved will be followed closely for years to come. I'd like to see the next Conference in 18 months to two years. We (should not) wait too long.

**Letter #24**

The most important ideas I gained from the CUFOS 1981 conference were: #1- The rational treatment and grouping by type of EM cases by Don Johnson and Mark Rodeghier; #2- A potential explanation for some aspects of abduction reports by Al Lawson; #3- The fact that the Center Scientific Board met (privately and in open session with other attendees); #4- Fixing the time of day of the Trent photo by Bruce Maccabee, information which will be important to David Saunders' research. Again, Mark Rodeghier deserves a great deal of thanks and credit for the fine job he did of handling the Conference. I think a two year interval is about right for CUFOS Conferences. However, if a volunteer is available to run one in some other city, alternate years might see a smaller regional CUFOS Conference.

**Letter #26**

"In terms of technical importance . . . at least three papers were presented (which) indicate that we are to the point of taking some UFO research work into the laboratory. Both Mark Rodeghier's paper and Don Johnson's paper on EM effects suggest (this) and Dr. Irwin Wieder's presentation on a new look at the Oregon photograph actually seems eligible (for) laboratory experimentation. Likewise, the final discussion session broached areas that might be due for scientific scrutiny.

**Letter #27**

Although most of those I talked to were somewhat critical of Alvin Lawson's paper, I think his ideas may have some merit. It may well be that an abductee's perception of his experience is influenced by his previous psychological history.

I was pleased to learn of the progress being made toward international cooperation in UFO research. I am glad that CUFOS is taking such an important part in these efforts.

The paper by Budd Hopkins included many good suggestions concerning ways to uncover "hidden" abductions and decide which ones would probably yield the most information. I was surprised to learn that many abductees do not consciously recall an UFO experience at all.

The investigator's workshop was one of the most informative parts of the Conference.

**Letter #29**

It was without a doubt the most exciting exposure I have had to date with professionals in the field . . . The entire conference produced information that I regard as high caliber toward a better understanding of the phenomenon. I did have some difficulty relating to the Birth Trauma Syndrome. Dr. Lawson may have over-simplified the very complex emotional experiences of abductees. The problem with his synopsis is that one would be lead to believe that most all experiences extracted under hypnosis where pain, discomfort, excitement, etc. were experienced could be Birth Trauma Syndrome-related. I can't buy that.

Hopefully CUFOS can put their Conference on every 2 years. I'll be there.

**Letter #32**

(Summary evaluation:) Organization was perfect. Parallel sessions should be avoided. Scientific advancement within specific sectors was well-supported, but . . . An overall (theoretical) discussion was missing. An overall "picture or message" could thus not be arrived at. An inter-disciplinary cooperation was not evidence. An international cooperative linkage was missing in the studies being done. Some more and/or somewhat longer breaks could give opportunity for more informal contacts which are the very basis for future cooperation and development in the field of UFO research."

(EDITOR'S NOTE: Many additional excellent suggestions were made regarding future Conferences and expanded study by researchers. We will attempt to incorporate these ideas in future articles in the ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER issues beginning in 1982. We wish to thank each of those who took the time to write letters (some of which were many pages in length) sharing thoughts generated by the Conference. We will make every effort to make good use of these suggestions for future CONFERENCES.) □

# Orders Sought For Copies Of Complete Canadian UFO Files

The Center for UFO Studies is seeking sufficient orders for sets of microfilm copies of the complete files of Canadian UFO reports to justify placing the order for a master copy. All interested persons and organizations are urged to respond to this article by writing to CUFOS, P.O. Box 1621, Lima, OH 45802, USA a letter of intention (no payment required at this time) to pay in advance of shipment a presently estimated price of \$100.00 US\$.

The files to be copied have recently been turned over to the Public Archives of Canada and were previously under the custody of the National Research Council of Canada in Ottawa. They cover the period from 1965 to 1980 and describe roughly 2,000 UFO sighting reports on about 5,470 pages. Many of the cases were reported to the Royal Canadian Mounted Police and some went to the National Department of Defense of Canada.

The National Research Council did essentially nothing with them except segregate them into meteor or non-meteor cases, since the meteor cases were what were of interest to NRC. The quality of the remaining material

seems, to researcher Stanton Friedman who has sampled the files, to be rather high, with almost none of the "crackport stuff."

Persons and organizations contemplating this investment would benefit by reading Chapter 6 of *THE UFO CONNECTION* by Arthur Bray (available from CUFOS Information Service for \$10.00 US\$ ppd) which reviews Canadian government's role in accumulating and holding UFO data in its files. Mr. Bray has searched the files several times in preparing his book and points out that "almost all are initial sighting reports without benefit of evaluation." There has apparently been no follow-up whatever, except in a very low percentage of cases. A number of the sightings are from airline pilots and many of the reports go back as much as 20 years. A few cases, however, have been thoroughly investigated and are included in the files.

CUFOS will announce the results of this appeal for indications of interest. Please republish this article in other publications. Because of the delay involved in doing so, there will be at least a three-month delay before a decision is made to proceed. □

(Continued from Pg. 1, Col. 3)

Because the Center for UFO Studies is recognized by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, contributions to CUFOS are deductible as are contributions to other educational, research and charity organizations. (See ASSOCIATE application form for other details.) The purchase of publications or other items, however, is not tax-deductible. Twenty percent of ASSOCIATE contributions of the first three kinds are designated for publication benefits. All other contributions without benefits are fully tax-deductible for Federal Income Tax purposes. This does not apply to state or local income taxes, so be sure your accountant or tax preparer is properly advised.

Readers outside of the United States should note that there is an additional amount required for foreign surface mailing (US\$5.00) or for foreign AIRMAIL delivery (US \$10.00), for ASSOCIATE of the First, Second and Third Kind only.

New ASSOCIATES may wish to collect a complete set of IUR or other publications from CUFOS and may request an order form by writing to either CUFOS office.

*All of us on the staff at the offices of the  
Center for UFO Studies  
Wish Each of our ASSOCIATES a Most Enjoyable  
HOLIDAY SEASON and a  
HAPPY and PROSPEROUS 1982!!*

## SCHEDULE OF ASSOCIATES--Application Form

Associates	Annual Contribution (in US funds or equal)			Associate Benefits
	U.S. Mail	Foreign Surface	Foreign Airmail	
First Kind	\$15.00	\$20.00	\$25.00	IUR
Second Kind	\$30.00	\$35.00	\$40.00	IUR & A/N
Third Kind	\$60.00	\$65.00	\$70.00	IUR, A/N, JUS
Donor	\$125.00	—	\$125.00	Periodicals+1 book
Patron	\$250.00	—	\$250.00	Periodicals+2 books
Sponsor	\$500.00	—	\$500.00	Periodicals+3 books
Director	\$1,000.00	—	\$1,000.00	Periodicals+4 books

The CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES (IRS I.D. #23-73555392) is exempt from federal income tax as described in Section 501 (c)(3) and 170 (b)(1)(A)(vi) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954.

All gifts to the Center by check or negotiable securities are tax-deductible for federal income tax purposes.

Please mail your application and contribution to:

CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES  
P.O. Box 1621-Lima, Ohio 45802 U.S.A.

Total amount enclosed: \_\_\_\_\_

THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONTRIBUTION

Please register me as a CUFOS ASSOCIATE of the \_\_\_\_\_ Kind for 12 months. Enclosed is my annual gift of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for the year of \_\_\_\_\_  
Print your name and address here:

MY NAME, (MR., MRS., MISS, MS.) \_\_\_\_\_

STREET ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

CITY \_\_\_\_\_ STATE/PROVINCE \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTRY \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP/POSTAL CODE \_\_\_\_\_

List gift associate and nominations below:

FOR \_\_\_\_\_  
PRINT NAME OF AN INDIVIDUAL ONLY (MR., MRS., MISS, MS.)

STREET ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_

CITY \_\_\_\_\_ STATE/PROVINCE \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTRY \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP/POSTAL CODE \_\_\_\_\_

Gift Associate Of \_\_\_\_\_ Kind with Extra Gift of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ enclosed.  
Please send gift card signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Nomination only. This is not a gift. Send information and an invitation to become an ASSOCIATE.

## Federal Court Upholds Decision Against CAUS

In a "whirlwind" decision, the United States Federal Court of Appeals in Washington, DC, has upheld the decision eleven months earlier by the U.S. District Court in the District of Columbia which ruled against the Committee Against UFO Secrecy in its case versus the National Security Agency seeking release of classified documents relating to UFO sighting reports.

Although this disappointment for the CAUS supporters was no surprise, the speed with which the decision was handed down suggested the magnitude of arguments which must have persuaded the three presiding judges in this case. Peter Gersten, attorney for CAUS, presented strong arguments for release of such documents under the freedom-of-information act. However, at the request of the defense attorney, representing the National Security Agency, she (the attorney) and the three judges were given a special clearance for this case only to view the 21-page top secret affidavit prepared by the NSA justifying the non-release of over 130 documents sought by CAUS. This evidence apparently convinced the judges that their decision was in the interests of "national security."

The official statement was (approximately) as follows: "Citizens Against UFO Secrecy, the appellant, versus the National Security Agency. JUDGEMENT. This cause came on to be heard on appeal from the United States District Court, the District of Columbia, briefed and argued by counsel. The issues presented have been afforded full consideration by the court. They occasion no need for an opinion. The local rule, (13) (c) (applied). This court agrees with the result reached by the District Court in this case generally for the reasons stated in its memorandum and order filed (previously). On consideration of the foregoing, it is ordered and adjudged by this court that the judgement of the District Court is hereby affirmed."

In conversations with participants in the hearing, Peter Gersten received the distinct impression that the government takes the UFO subject "very seriously."

Normally it would be expected that a decision of the Federal Court of Appeals would be made in about two months. In this case, it took them five days (from October 28th until November 3rd) for the decision to be announced. □

**Please notify us immediately your change in address. Thank YOU!**

## Radio Public Service Announcement For December

"Seven days a week, fifty-two weeks a year and for thousands of years back into earliest recorded human history mysterious objects in the sky have been observed by curious men and women. Stars, the sun and moon, meteors, comets, lighting and clouds have become very familiar sights, explainable by the science of our time, but there remain many unexplained sights in our skies and near the surface of the Earth.

Some of you now listening may have seen some of these unidentified flying objects or you may see one sometime in the future. If so, the non-profit CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES hopes you will carefully observe and report your sighting.

A network of trained investigators exists throughout our country and abroad to assist in getting complete reports to the Center for study. Center Scientific Director, Dr. J. Allen Hynek invites you to be alert to this most intriguing mystery of the sky by training yourself to carefully, accurately report the truly unidentified flying objects to the CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES, BOx 1402, Evanston, Illinois 60204.

Tape records of this and other PSAs of different lengths ready for broadcast are available by writing to: CUFOS, P.O. Box 1621, Lima, OH 45802. □

### Center For UFO Studies

Associates Education & Business Office  
P.O. Box 1621  
Lima, Ohio 45802

Nonprofit Organization  
U.S. Postage  
**PAID**  
Permit No. 187  
Lima, Ohio