CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES a not-for-profit Illinois corporation

ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER

Associates Education & Business Office
P.O. Box 1621, Lima, Ohio 45802

Research Department
P.O. Box 1402, Evanston, Illinois 60204

(312) 491-6666
24-Hour Telephone

COPYRIGHT 1981 CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES JUNE 1981 — VOLUME 2 NUMBER 6

The Motunau, New Zealand, UFO Photograph

Story and Photographs from
XENOLOG U.F.O. MAGAZINE
Issue No. 122, Summer, 1980
by
Fred and Phyll Dickeson
33 Dee Street
Timaru, New Zealand



Motunau photo taken at dawn, Saturday, October 27th, 1979. Enlargements from original colour slide shown above. (top left): Original slide, (lower left): same area in daylight taken Feb. 20, 1980, (top right): enlarged portion shows UFO 20 times larger than original slide, (lower right): UFO enlarged 70 times.

Prior to October 27, 1979, June and Norman Neilson of Motunau (pronounced MOW-TWO-NOW) bought a new fishing boat for their crayfishing business and were anxious to obtain a good photograph of their proud new acquirement.

Already two unsatisfactory colour films had been taken, one was underexposed and the other badly torn in the camera. Having bought another colour slide film and borrowed a 35 mm Olympus f40 mm camera, a friend, Lou Blackburn, was asked to take photos of the boat going out of the tidal river mouth at sunrise on October 27th. (Boats can navigate the entrance three hours either side of full tide.)

Unfortunately, Lou (not professing to be a photographer) used the camera as it had been given to him, all set to aim and fire in sunlight.

It was dawn, 6:15 AM, and the sun had just risen over the sea, but the scene to be photographed was in deep shadow of the northeastern hills. The new boat came past the jetty, on its way down the incoming rising tide. Lou was ready and, in quick succession, snapped three photographs as the boat passed his position. Later that warm, sunny day he took more photographs as Norman Neilson returned with his boat from the open sea.

The film was duly processed in December and the slides returned. Holding them up to the light, the first three were disappointing. The first shot didn't come out. The second was too dark and there was no sign of the boat. The third was taken slap-bang into the rising sun. The boat was no good in any of these shots. However, the others taken later on the same (roll of) film were much better. The slides were put away.

In January, another friend brought over a hand-held slide-viewer and another look was taken at the slides. Suddenly, the friend asked, "What's that cluster of lights in the sky in this second slide?" No one had noticed them before, as all had been concentrating on the hoped-for photo of the boat. They then scrambled to borrow slide projector and were amazed and puzzled to see the unusual formation of dazzling blue-white lights.

Last January 15th, the Neilsons took their slide into the *PRESS* (newspaper) office in the Christchurch to ask them what they thought it could be. A black and white slide copy was taken and a poor enlarged reproduction was printed back-to-front.

Having been sent several *PRESS* cuttings from Christchurch UFO enthusiasts, we contacted an area representative to negotiate for us with the paper for further details.

The *PRESS* kindly gave him, for *XENOLOG*, a black and white negative of the colour slide and the names of the people to contact.

The actual size of the lights on this negative is about 1 to 1½ mm, but, through enlarging and printing the photo so that the clouds (were more visible) and revealed exciting possi-

(Continued on Pg. 3, Col. 3)

Correspondence

Dear Mimi:

I am writing to congratulate you and the Center for UFO Studies on the publication of Volume II of the *JOURNAL OF UFO STUDIES*. Without a doubt, this is the finest collection of scientific papers on UFO research ever put together. Four or five years of issues of this high quality should advance knowledge of the subject more than all the work of the past thirty-four years.

I hope that every possible effort will be made to continue publication of this journal, to maintain its high standard, and to publish twice a year (or more frequently) even if other publications of the Center suffer as a result of the efforts put into this. The JOURNAL is essential and must continue at all costs.

At last we have a truly scientific journal in the field of ufology. In my huge personal collection of ufological literature, it is no exaggeration to say that Vol. II of the *JOURNAL OF UFO STUDIES* is the most valuable single item to date.

Yours sincerely,
Arthur Bray
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Dear Sirs,

I wish to report a strange occurrence that took place on Saturday, March 21, 1981 at approximately 11:35 PM. As you can see from my address, I live in the city of Toronto and that is where the incident that I am going to report took place . . .

Here are the facts, as best I can recall. My friend was driving me home . . . north on Runnymede Road. A block away from the street that I live on (this area is a quiet residential neighborhood with no high-rises) my friend exclaimed "What the hell is that?" I glanced up and there directly ahead but above us was this object, like nothing I had ever seen before. It was shaped like a saucer turned upside down. Here is a rough drawing. . . .

There was a red light that seemed to be on a dome in the middle. All around the edges were bright white lights that flashed on and off at random and also seemed to pulsate. The red light remained fixed. The white lights were quite numerous and spaced quite close together. The strange thing about it was the fact that even with all those lights it was hard to make out the details or colour of the object. It made no noise and moved very slowly, sometimes it remained motionless . . .

It seemed very close to us and quite large in size, maybe 30 or 40 feet in diameter. We both watched it for about two minutes, then it moved slowly west and was hidden from view behind my neighbor's house, across the street. My friend let me off at my house and I was so excited at that time I never thought to walk three houses up to the corner to see if I could still observe the object. He, meanwhile, parked his car at the corner, got out and observed the object for another five minutes, until it suddenly disappeared toward the west.

He says that it was silent, moved slowly up, down and sideways. Sometimes it remained motionless. The sky that night was overcast. There was no interference with the operation of the car. . .

Alba Dunlop
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Dear Sirs,

In the Spring of 1962, in Milwaukee, I had been working nights. Before I went to sleep, I would to outside with my radio and enjoy the peace and quiet.

The "object" approached from my left side, within ten feet. I can only describe it as a round, web-like transparent object. Its lines were intricate beyond belief. I looked at it, and it came closer. I never took my eyes off of it. It began to move toward the area in front of me. It moved very slowly until it was out of sight.

Sometime after this incident, I was looking at a UFO magazine and there was a picture of a group of men at a UFO meeting. Behind these men was a bulletin board with pictures and one picture was a crude attempt to draw the same object I saw that night in Milwaukee.

This is no disrespect to the artist, but I believe it would be impossible to copy its delicate lines.

Thank you,
Donald E. Heffernan
West Allis, Wisconsin



This is not even a good example of how delicate the grids were. Also the object pulsated dimly.

Sirs:

I suspect that the "UFO" sightings detailed by Ms Vickie Allen in the May issue of the ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER sound suspiciously like classic descriptions of advertising planes. The fact that in her 8/77 reports she reportedly saw the object in question on two successive nights, tends, to me at least, to place a high probability on the "IFO" explanation.

Sincerely,
Rick R. Hilberg
Berea, Ohio

The CUFOS ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER is published by the Center for UFO Studies, Evanston, Illinois, and is mailed monthly to financial contributors to CUFOS according to the schedule of ASSOCIATES. Copyright 1981 by the Center for UFO Studies and mailed as Third Class Mail at Lima, Ohio.

The contents of the CUFOS ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER are determined by the editor and do not necessarily represent the official position of CUFOS, or reflect the opinions of the editor, the staff or the Center for UFO Studies.

Permission is hereby granted to quote from this issue provided not more than 200 words are quoted from any one article, the author of the article is given credit and the statement "Copyright 1981 by the Center for UFO Studies," is included in the quotation.

ISSN.# 0273-3129

The CUFOS ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER
P.O. Box 1621
Lima, Ohio 45802
John P. Timmerman, Editor
J. Allen Hynek, PhD., Scientific Director

From France Un Faux Jeton

The Latin inscription "opportunus adest" which appeared on the 300-yr.-old French Jeton is the appropriate description for a letter received the same day copy was due for this issue of the *ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER*. Because it "arrives at a good time," your editor is pleased to offer this correspondence from ASSOCIATE Jean Bastide relating to the article on page one of the April 1981 *ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER*.

"Upon the receipt of (my April copy), I was surprised to see the article by Professor Kenneth C. Schellhase.

"As this jeton was minted in France in the 1680's, the disc-shaped thing in the sky amidst the clouds depicts (as written by Mr. Schellhase) the wheel of Apollo's chariot, which is another symbolic representation of the Sun King, Louis XIV. The two legends of the coin ("opportunus adest" and "haec per te aucta colam") let us understand, without the shadow of any doubt, that the disc-wheel has NOTHING TO DO WITH UFOs (emphasis by writer): the jeton was minted to commemorate the installation of Louis XIV in Versailles, on May 6, 1682. The Sun King lived before this date at Saint-Germain. You see, this date fits very well with all that has been written by Mr. Schellhase.

"More, the strange disc reminds us of Greek ornamentation. Now, two famous painters, one of them living under the reign of Louis XIV, who have been inspired by Old Greece are Raphael and Nicolas Poussin (1594-1665). They were the two models followed by all the painters under the reign of Louis XIV. The painters were directly inspired by the Greek art of this precise date. We have them for a "Close Encounter of the Sun Kind" or the Sun King, if you like.

"You can find in heraldry such a sketch, which can be linked with the Greek shields, Roman shields and is to be found as far as in a Spanish manuscript from the XIIth century (see pp. 9 & 10 of the booklet by Genevieve d' Haucourt & Georges Durivault, entitled: "Le Blason," and published by Presses Universitaires de France, 1949). You see that in man's head the shield is linked with the sun and even the wheel of Apollo's chariot. It has become some symbol of royalty.

"I add, we don't find in France any important UFO case on this date. You cannot even find important discoveries about aerial human devices (see the important book, by Mr. Jules Duhem: "Histoire des idees aeronautiques avant Montgolfier," Sorlot, 1943, 458 pages). Fernand Sorlot is a very serious publisher in Paris and this book is well-known by all the pilots in France! And, you can be sure Mr. Duhem has done a very thorough job.

". . . Mr. Rene Fouere (of French GEPA, the most serious French organizations) has written about a very important law by a William of Occam: "William of Occam wisely held that the number of hypothesis ought not to be multiplied unnecessarily. We would say, so far as we are concerned, that one should only have recourse to the most complex and most uncertain possibilities after exhausting all the simplest and most vertifiable ones." (*"Phenomenes Spatiaux"* No. 7, March 1966, P. 24, lines 14-21 of the left column). William of Occam has given us ANOTHER LATIN "LEGEND": "Entia non sunt multiplicanda praeter necessitatem" ("entities should not be multiplied beyond that which is necessary"). For example, if you don't see a tiger in your garden, you can think it is because the tiger fears to meet you when you open your front door. Now, William of Occam would have said it may be because there is no tiger in your garden. The same with our 300-years-old coin: THERE IS NO TIGER (SORRY, UFO) IN YOUR JETON!

"Of course, let me add that it may be that Apollo's disc is another flying saucer (see my book *"des Argonautes aux Extraterrestres,"* published by Mercure de France, 26 rue de Conde, 75006 Paris, in France, in 1978). But in this case, Louis XIV CANNOT BE A FLYING SAUCER . . . except in a lunatic asylum!!

"We are used to saying in French "etre faux comme un jeton" ("to be as false as a coin") about dishonest people. And, that such a man (or women) is then "un faux jeton" ("a bad guy," if you like!) You can find, too, some expressions like "un vieux jeton" (an old dottard") and "avoir les jetons" ("to fear"). Therefore, LET US THROW AWAY THIS BAD COIN!! As in French, a "jeton" is also a swarm of bees (bees and fleur-de-lises are linked with French Kings), we can therefore find some link between Louis XIV and . . . a coin!"

Mr. Jean Bastide
5, Avenue Maurice Blondel
13100 Aix-en-Provence,
FRANCE □

(Continued from Pg. 1, Col. 3)

bilities, the editors decided to contact the slide owners and (traveled) 260 kilometers from Timaru to Motunau. Motunau is 98 kms north of Christchurch on the east coast (of the South Island of New Zealand), 20 kms east of Scargill and 100 kms south of Kaikoura. All around the Motunau area are very large deposits and outcroppings of limestone hills and valleys which are very impressive.

The day the Neilsons were in Christchurch they endeavoured to interest the television (station personnel) in the slide, but they were very busy with a big change-over and, as they had no slide viewing equipment handy, passed it off as being a flaw in the slide.

When we viewed the slide for the first time, we immediately noticed that it had been reproduced (in reverse) in the *PRESS* and were intrigued with the sparkling diamond-like clearness of the blue-white light which was radiating from the object.

Close scrutiny of the photograph revealed what appeared to be eight lights on the top, with six underneath and perhaps five more in between.

A very interesting discovery was made while enlarging from the black and white negative. The darker we printed the photos, the greater was the tendency for each light to appear to split into two sources of light and not just one, as we thought at first.

The top row and all the others, except the two lower left lights, were blue-white in colour. The two lower left lights appeared to have a cream tinge about them. Between the two rows of lights on the extreme right, there appeared to be a large purplish hazy spot.

(Continued on Pg. 4, Col. 1)

(Continued from Pg. 3, Col. 3)

The rising sun would be lighting up the extreme right side, whereas, on the extreme left, that part would have been in shadow from the sun. However, the lights on this (left) area appeared to be brighter and more intense.

Holding up the picture page at arm's length and looking at the top right hand photograph will give the reader an approximate size of the craft. This enlargement was made to resemble the size the bush would appear to a viewer standing where the photo was taken. The bush on the hill from the camera position was 60° east of magnetic north.

When Lou Blackburn was photographing the boat, he did not see the lights in the sky as he was concentrating on the fishing boat through the view-finder. The photo of the UFO appeared only on the second slide and was not on the others. The third slide showed the bush on the hill, but there was no sign of the UFO. The time elapsing between the second and third photo was five to ten seconds.

We were satisfied that the three persons involved, June and Norman Neilson and Lou Blackburn, were all sincere, honest, down-to-earth folk. They had never been interested in UFOs before.

Both editors were members of the Royal New Zealand Air Force Photographic Section and have been professional photographers for many years. After careful scrutiny, we regard the colour slide as genuine. The authenticity of the photograph is unquestionable. It is a genuine photo taken of a UFO under existing cloud formation when the sun had risen a few degrees above the horizon. □

Dear Friends:

Regarding comments invited on the Nancy Parker photo . . . March 1981 ASSOCIATE NEWSLETTER . . . this photo could not have been taken with the camera lens up against the window, but about 2 to 3 feet away from it. The curved window frame can be seen plainly to the lower left. The "object" could have been a reflection from the window of something behind the camera . . .

Regards,
Fred & Phyll Dickeson
Timaru, New Zealand □

GUEST EDITORIAL

by Raymond E. Fowler, *Director of Investigations for Mutual UFO Network, Scientific Associate of Center for UFO Studies and author of UFOs: INTERPLANETARY VISITORS (1974), THE ANDREASSON AFFAIR (1979) and CASEBOOK OF A UFO INVESTIGATOR (1981).*

JAMES E. MCDONALD

June 13, 1981, marks a decade since the untimely, bizarre death of one of the greatest scientific contributors to UFO research, namely, Dr. James E. McDonald. His life forms an integral part of UFO history and provides an object lesson for all of us. Who was James E. McDonald?

Born in Duluth, Minnesota, in 1920, Jim McDonald received his B.A. in chemistry at the University of Omaha in 1942, M.S. in meteorology at M.I.T. in 1945, and his Ph.D. in physics at Iowa State University in 1951. During World War II, he served in Naval Intelligence. Prior to his death, Jim was professor of meteorology and a senior physicist at the University of Arizona's Institute of Atmospheric Physics.

McDonald served on advisory panels for the N.S.F. Weather Modification Project; the U.S.N. Hurricane Project STORMFURY; and, the National Academy of Science's Weather and Climate Modification Study. He initially financed his own personal systematic UFO investigation program. Later he received a two-year grant from the Office of Naval Research. He interviewed hundreds of witnesses and publicly concluded that UFOs were *the greatest scientific problem of our times* and most probably extraterrestrial in origin.

Jim kept up a voluminous correspondence on UFOs and spoke nationally on the subject four to five times a month to a number of prestigious scientific, engineering, military and other professional groups. He was an intense individual who was not afraid to speak his mind. One of his prime targets for attack was Project Bluebook which he continually chided for its apparent mishandling of the UFO problem. The Air Force considered him a major threat to its UFO public relations effort.

Dr. McDonald was one of the few scientists called upon to present papers at two highly significant symposiums on UFOs hosted by the House Committee on Science and Astronautics and the American Association for the Advancement of Science. Samples of his contributions to UFOlogy include his involvement in the release of the full text of the Robertson Panel papers, his pioneering contact with the U.N. on UFOs; and, a detailed and devastating scientific critique of Philip Klass' once much-touted theory that UFOs were *floating plasmas.*

Jim's career and reputation did not go unscathed because of his UFO interest. Klass unleashed a frenzy of verbal and written attacks upon his statements and falsely told columnist Jack Anderson that Jim had illegitimately used funds from a U.S. Navy grant to study UFOs. A painful scandal ensued even though Navy auditors ultimately found Jim innocent. Also, when he was asked to testify to Congress on the harmful effects of the proposed SST on ozone in the atmosphere, a congressional opponent used Jim's connection with UFOs in an unrelenting derogatory attack on his credibility.

Dr. McDonald worked himself to the bone trying to gain scientific respectability for the UFO. The Air Force continued its ridicule of him and his work on the SST was derided, not on its scientific merits, but because of his association with UFOs. These factors and other pressures were conducive to what happened next. On June 13, 1971, Jim was found dead in the desert along with a note and a .38 revolver. It is presumed that he took his own life. It was a sad day for his loved ones, friends and UFO research. His professional contributions to atmospheric physics and UFOlogy should not go unrecognized as we remember the occasion of his death just ten years ago. □

First Mid-South UFO Witness Weekend Planned For August

The Center for UFO Studies is experimenting with a new type of UFO meeting, not to be confused with the RESEARCH CONFERENCE announced for September 25-27 in Chicago.

In cooperation with the Association for Spiritual Development and Research, CUFOS is announcing UFO WITNESS WEEKEND designed specifically to permit UFO sighting witnesses to meet with each other and share and compare the details of their UFO Experiences.

For many years, CUFOS (and perhaps all UFO research groups) have taken reports from concerned individuals who, after reporting their experience, ask to have the opportunity to meet and talk with others who have had similar experiences. Few witnesses wish to have their names published because of the fear of ridicule from their friends or others in their community. However, there seems to be a feeling of confidence and understanding many of them anticipate in a conversation with other sighting witnesses.

Dr. Leo Sprinkle recently held a special meeting for CEIII abductees. This UFO WITNESS WEEKEND will not be limited to just one category of sightings. Obviously, it is hoped that new, high quality close encounter reports not previously received will be discovered at this meeting, but witnesses who have previously reported their truly puzzling sightings are invited, as well.

If this new type of meeting is successful, CUFOS will plan similar regional meetings throughout the country, possibly in cooperation with other UFO investigative groups.

The meeting will be held on August 7, 8 and 9 at the Hillhigh Lodge and Spa in Horseshoe Bend, Arkansas, located about 150 miles from Little Rock, Memphis and St. Louis, near the town of Salem, Arkansas.

Dr. Hynek will attend the meeting and will conduct a series of category workshops in which open discussion of the details of personal sightings will be encouraged. In addition to the open meetings, those wishing to have confidential conversations with Dr. Hynek are asked to write to him at P.O. Box 1402, Evanston, IL, 60204 as soon as possible for an appointment during the weekend. He will be assisted by CUFOS Director/Investigators Larry Fawcett and John Timmerman.

Perhaps you know of a person living near the location of this meeting who might wish to attend. If so, please see that they are notified. There will be a refundable advance registration fee of $75.00 (or $100.00 on arrival) which should be mailed to A.S.D.&R., Box 8, Salem, Arkansas 72576 before July 15th to assure being included. The lodge, a very popular resort facility, has heavy summer occupancy. Therefore, early room reservations should be made by writing or calling Hillhigh Lodge & Spa, Horseshoe Bend, Arkansas 721512, phone: (501) 670-5141.

Those driving to the meeting will receive a detailed strip-map from their town to Horseshoe Bend with the acknowledgement of their registration. For additional information write to A.S.D.&R. in Salem or phone (501) 895-2300. □

UFO Lectures Scheduled For Atlanta & Dallas

On August 4, 1981, Dr. Hynek and Allan Hendry will be sharing the platform for a speaking engagement at Georgia Institute of Technology. The lecture is scheduled for 4 PM at the Electrical Enginering Auditorium on campus. The Georgia Tech Lecture Committee is sponsoring this free lecture.

On August 3rd and before and after the lecture Dr. Hynek will be available for private conversations with those from the area wishing to discuss personal UFO experiences with him. Persons wishing such an appointment should write to him at P.O. Box 1402, Evanston, IL 60204.

On September 13, 1981 Dr. Hynek will be speaking at the University of Dallas in Dallas, Texas and in Redland, California on January 13, 1982. Details will appear in future issues. □

Read Dr. Hynek's analysis of current UFO cases in **Frontiers of Science,** 8 E Street SE, Washington, DC. 20003. $15.00 per year. □

Recent Books About UFOS

UFOs And The Limits of Science by Ronald D. Story, William Morrow & Company, Inc., 290 pgs., $12.95 hardback.

CUFOS

CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES

a not-for-profit Illinois corporation

GIFT AMOUNT

CUFOS ASSOCIATE Of The	**(Education)**	**(Research)**
First Kind	15.00*	30.00*
Second Kind	60.00*	125.00*
Third Kind	250.00	500.00
Fourth Kind	1000.00	(Case Sponsor)

** To these catagories add the following for foreign delivery: $5.00 Surface - $10.00 Airmail (all amounts in U.S. dollars)*

The CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES (IRS I.D. #23-73555392) is exempt from federal income tax as described in Section 501 (c)(3) and 170 (b)(1)(A)(vi) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954.

All gifts to the Center by check or negotiable securities are tax-deductible for federal income tax purposes.

CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES

Please register the undersigned as an ASSOCIATE of the _____ KIND with the CENTER FOR UFO STUDIES for one year beginning

_____ .
(Date)

A gift in the amount of $ _____ is enclosed.

_____

_____

_____
(Zip Code)

(Please write here the name and address to which our mailings should be sent. Thank you very much for your support.)

China UFO Publication & Hynek Photo

The second edition of *THE JOURNAL OF UFO RESEARCH* has arrived at CUFOS offices with a photograph of Dr. J. Allen Hynek at the telescope on the cover of the new Chinese bi-monthly magazine about UFOs.

It is, of course, in Chinese langauge. However, English readers who wish to obtain English translations of sighting reports of UFOs in China printed in this magazine should write to Paul Dong, P.O. Box 2011 Oakland, CA 94604, U.S.A. □

Newly Found 1969 Color Motion Picture Of Possible UFO

CUFOS has just received a short strip of 8 mm color motion picture film taken during 1969 which shows what may have been a valid "unidentified flying object." It was taken from the front porch of his home at 164 Depot Street, Franklin, North Carolina in Macon County by Chester Fisher, now of Horseshow Bend, Arkansas.

Mr. Fisher and his son were trying to photograph nearby military exercises of a Green Beret helicopter unit in which the men were descending from the aircraft to the ground by ropes. At the time, a helicopter went behind trees and Mr. Fisher's son said, "well, there's one of them up in the sky."

Switching to the 9-to-1 zoom lens on his camera, Mr. Fisher aimed his camera on the white object stright North from the front of his home and up about 60 degrees from the horizon. Being in the mountains, it was difficult for him to judge the distance of the object or its altitude. He guessed at 3,000 to 4,000 feet altitude. The helicopter behind the trees was only about 500 feet from them and it was making the normal amount of noise. They heard nothing else. It was at about 2:30 in the afternoon.



While he was photographing the object, it suddenly moved rapidly to his right, suggested by a blur toward the right in several frames before the object was temporarily lost from view through the viewfinder. After a few seconds, Mr. Fisher found it again and continued photographing the object. Again it moved out of view and, while Mr. Fisher was attempting to find it, his son told him that it had suddenly accelerated saying, "Dad, it's gone. It just went straight up. It went at an angle, but up."

Copies of the film strip will be available for purchase from *CUFOS* as soon as a price can be established based on expected interest in a copy. Persons or organizations collecting UFO photography are welcome to write so that we may have some indication of the quantity we should prepare. Please write to *CUFOS*, P.O. Box 1621, Lima, OH 45802, U.S.A. □

Center For UFO Studies
Associates Education & Business Office
P.O. Box 1621
Lima, Ohio 45802

Nonprofit Organization
U.S. Postage
PAID
Permit No. 187
Lima, Ohio

JUNE 1981

Address Correction Requested